	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	10/600,593	WINOGRAD ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Sam Rizk	2133
All Participants:	Status of Application:	
(1) <u>Sam Rizk</u> .	(3)	
(2) Attorney Lamont Whitman.	(4)	
Date of Interview: <u>5 May 2006</u>	Time: <u>10:00 AM</u>	
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative) Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:		
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed:		
Claims discussed:		
Oldims discussed.		
Prior art documents discussed:		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: See Continuation Sheet		
Part III.		
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above. 		
A/Rsh 5/2/06		
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applicant/	Applicant's Representative Signature	gnature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: To clearly define the invention as claims the Examiner requested amendement as follows:

- Change claim 1, line 3 "words" to "complete data words"
 Change claim 4, line 6 'words' to 'complete data words"

- Change claim 6, line 6 "words" to "complete data words"
 Change claim 11, line 7 "words" to "complete data words"